

AGENDA SUPPLEMENT

Council

To: All Members of City of York Council

Date: Thursday, 19 September 2024

Time: 6.30 pm

Venue: The Guildhall, Coney Street, York, YO1 9QN

The Agenda for the above meeting was published on **11 September 2024**.

The attached additional documents are now available for the following agenda item:

- 6. Report of Executive Leader, Questions, and Executive Recommendations** (Pages 1 - 2)
Part B Minutes of the Executive meeting held on 12 September 2024 (marked as to follow on the agenda).

Also attached is a full list of Motions, Amendments and Recommendations to be put at the meeting.

This agenda supplement was published on **17 September 2024**

This page is intentionally left blank

City of York Council

Committee Minutes

Meeting	Executive
Date	12 September 2024
Present	Councillors Douglas (Chair), Kilbane (Vice-Chair), Kent, Baxter, Lomas, Pavlovic, Ravilious, Steels-Walshaw and Webb
In Attendance	Councillor Ayre
Officers in Attendance	<p>Ian Floyd – Chief Operating Officer Debbie Mitchell – Director of Finance Frances Harrison – Head of Legal Sara Storey - Corporate Director of Adults and Integration Michael Jones – Head of Housing Delivery and Asset Management Sophie Round - Housing Delivery Programme Manager Maxine Squire - Assistant Director, Education and Skills Kerry Lee - Wraparound Project Officer Dawn Wood - Early Years Extended Entitlements Project Lead James Gilchrist – Director of Transport, Environment and Planning Claire Foale - Interim Director City Director Alison Cooke - Head of Strategic Planning Policy Matt Boxall - Head of Public Protection</p>

PART B - MATTERS REFERRED TO COUNCIL

27. Capital Programme Update Monitor 1 (16:41)

The Director of Finance introduced the report. She outlined the proposal to decrease the 2024/25 budget by £81.72m as costs for some projects would be reprofiled to future years. She also asked the Executive to note the 2024/25 revised capital programme of £134.154m. She also explained that grant award to University of York for the Ousewem Flood Resilience Project had now exceeded the key decision threshold therefore would require Executive approval.

The Executive Member for Finance, Performance, Major Projects, Human Rights, Equality and Inclusion stated that with Council's difficult position it was right to move borrowing costs where possible to future years. She noted that a lot of the funding for projects came from external sources, but that the Council did undertake borrowing to support schemes.

Recommended:

- i. To recommend to Full Council the adjustments resulting in a decrease in the 2024/25 budget of £81.72m as detailed in the report.

Reason: To enable the effective management and monitoring of the Council's capital programme.

35. Safer York Partnership Community Safety Strategy (17:44)

The Director of Environment Transport and Planning introduced the report. He outlined that there was a statutory requirement to have a strategy in place. He explained that the strategy was a multi-agency approach and outlined the Council's responsibility and how it would work with partners and stakeholders.

The Executive Member for Housing, Planning and Safer Communities thanked everyone in the Safer York Partnership for their work developing the strategy. He outlined that the partnership had a wide-ranging remit and operated across York. He welcomed the move to a performance framework within the strategy which would allow greater reporting and monitoring of performance within the work of the partnership.

Recommended:

- i. Recommended to Full Council for approval in accordance with the Council's Constitution.

Reason: To progress the strategy to approval at Full Council and note the Council's role in delivery of the strategy.

Cllr Douglas, Chair

[The meeting started at 4.30 pm and finished at 6.15 pm].

Council – 19 September 2024**Motions, Amendments and Recommendations****Agenda Item 6 - Report of the Executive Leader, Questions and Executive Recommendations****Executive 18 July 2024****Minute 14: Capital Programme Outturn**

Recommended:

- i. Recommend to Full Council the restated 2024/25 to 2028/29 programme of £421.368m as summarised in Table 3 and detailed in Annex 1 to the report.

Reason: To enable the effective management and monitoring of the Council's capital programme

Executive 12 September 2024**Minute 27. Capital Programme Update Monitor 1**

Recommended:

- i. To recommend to Full Council the adjustments resulting in a decrease in the 2024/25 budget of £81.72m as detailed in the report.

Reason: To enable the effective management and monitoring of the Council's capital programme.

Executive 12 September 2024**Minute 35. Safer York Partnership Community Safety Strategy**

Recommended:

- i. Recommended to Full Council for approval in accordance with the Council's Constitution.

Reason: To progress the strategy to approval at Full Council and note the Council's role in delivery of the strategy

Agenda Item 8 – Motions on Notice

Motions on Notice submitted under Council Procedure Rule B13.

(i) From Cllr Whitcroft

Reforming Residents' Priority Parking in York

“This Council notes that:

- Residents' Priority Parking (ResPark) schemes are crucial for managing parking pressures in residential areas of York;
- The current ResPark system is limited in scope and requires complex, time-consuming consultations for implementation or changes;
- Many residential streets currently lack ResPark protection, including a proportion of streets close to the city centre, and streets around schools and other key trip attractors throughout the city, leading to parking issues for residents.

This Council believes that:

- All residents within the City of York boundary should have equitable access to car parking and ideally also car club schemes near to their homes, which can help to ease local parking pressures;
- The current consultation process for ResPark schemes is overly bureaucratic and expensive to the council and delays implementation of necessary parking controls, particularly with the 50% recommended turnout guideline;
- A more streamlined and comprehensive approach to ResPark would benefit residents and improve overall parking management in the city.

Council resolves to request Executive:

- Simplifies the process for implementing and modifying ResPark schemes by:
 - Developing a set of standardised criteria for ResPark eligibility;

- Developing a streamlined consultation and implementation process for ResPark schemes, in accordance with current legislation;
 - Conducting a city-wide review of the Respark process;
 - Working to reform the turnout guideline in Residents' Parking consultations to reflect area-specific issues for some streets, including consistently unoccupied properties, short-term and part time occupancy properties, and HMOs;
 - Reviewing the pricing structure for ResPark permits to ensure fair pricing for residents.
- Asks the Executive Member for Transport to work with council officers to present a detailed plan and timeline for expanding and reforming ResPark, in accordance with existing legislation, to the Executive, when capacity exists;
 - Commits to implementing a reformed ResPark system, having considered the measures proposed in this motion and in accordance with existing legislation.”

(ii) From Cllr Ayre

York's Local Plan

“Council notes:

- The continued progress with agreeing a Local Plan for York to deliver much-needed new homes and land for employment
- The ongoing Government consultation on revisions to the National Planning Policy Framework and wider policy proposals
- That the current draft Local Plan is meeting a need of 822 dwellings per annum (net average) for the City of York and the new standard method proposed by the Government would set a revised figure of 1,251, compared to the current standard method figure of 1,020
- That the July 2020 letter from planning inspectors challenged the council for overdelivering on housing in the Local Plan
- That the council's independent evidence base said about the 822 dwellings per annum housing target in the Local Plan that “there

would not be the level of household growth required to fill this number of homes.”

- That the 2024 Liberal Democrat General Election manifesto set a target of building 380,000 homes each year across the UK, with the Labour and Conservative manifestos including similar figures
- That the 2024 Liberal Democrat General Election manifesto promised to introduce ‘use-it-or-lose-it’ planning permission for developers who refuse to build.
- That whilst York’s housing targets have increased by 22%, London’s targets have seen a 20% reduction
- That the council’s response to the Government consultation is due to be agreed at an Executive Member Decision Session on 23 September 2024.

Council believes:

- That adoption of the Local Plan is a crucial step towards delivering much-needed new homes for York
- That the draft Local Plan contains ambitious and deliverable targets which will meet the pressing need for more new homes, whilst preserving York’s unique setting and environment
- That other measures can play an important part in improving the supply of homes for local residents, such as giving local authorities new powers to control second homes and short-term lets in their area and introducing ‘use-it-or-lose-it’ planning permission for developers who refuse to build.

Council resolves:

- To ask the Executive Member for Housing, Planning and Safer Communities to approve a council response to the Government consultation referred to above which expresses support for the retention of the housing target contained in the current draft Local Plan
- To ask the Executive Member to reaffirm his commitment, as reported in The Press on 13 June 2023 (<https://www.yorkpress.co.uk/news/23583238.will-no-extra-new-housing-green-belt-says-york-labour/>), that the only housing development allowed on green belt land will be on those sites already allocated for development in the draft Local Plan.”

Amendment 1 from Cllr Rowley BEM

“Under Council resolves:

- At the end of the first bullet point insert a new sentence:
‘The response should urge the government to reassess the way in which student housing is deemed to meet housing demand, given the many logical differences between the two supplies.’”

For information, the effect of the amendment on the above motion, with changes highlighted in bold:

“Council notes:

- The continued progress with agreeing a Local Plan for York to deliver much-needed new homes and land for employment
- The ongoing Government consultation on revisions to the National Planning Policy Framework and wider policy proposals
- That the current draft Local Plan is meeting a need of 822 dwellings per annum (net average) for the City of York and the new standard method proposed by the Government would set a revised figure of 1,251, compared to the current standard method figure of 1,020
- That the July 2020 letter from planning inspectors challenged the council for overdelivering on housing in the Local Plan
- That the council’s independent evidence base said about the 822 dwellings per annum housing target in the Local Plan that “there would not be the level of household growth required to fill this number of homes.”
- That the 2024 Liberal Democrat General Election manifesto set a target of building 380,000 homes each year across the UK, with the Labour and Conservative manifestos including similar figures
- That the 2024 Liberal Democrat General Election manifesto promised to introduce ‘use-it-or-lose-it’ planning permission for developers who refuse to build.
- That whilst York’s housing targets have increased by 22%, London’s targets have seen a 20% reduction
- That the council’s response to the Government consultation is due to be agreed at an Executive Member Decision Session on 23 September 2024.

Council believes:

- That adoption of the Local Plan is a crucial step towards delivering much-needed new homes for York
- That the draft Local Plan contains ambitious and deliverable targets which will meet the pressing need for more new homes, whilst preserving York's unique setting and environment
- That other measures can play an important part in improving the supply of homes for local residents, such as giving local authorities new powers to control second homes and short-term lets in their area and introducing 'use-it-or-lose-it' planning permission for developers who refuse to build.

Council resolves:

- To ask the Executive Member for Housing, Planning and Safer Communities to approve a council response to the Government consultation referred to above which expresses support for the retention of the housing target contained in the current draft Local Plan. **The response should urge the government to reassess the way in which student housing is deemed to meet housing demand, given the many logical differences between the two supplies.**
- To ask the Executive Member to reaffirm his commitment, as reported in The Press on 13 June 2023 (<https://www.yorkpress.co.uk/news/23583238.will-no-extra-new-housing-green-belt-says-york-labour/>), that the only housing development allowed on green belt land will be on those sites already allocated for development in the draft Local Plan.”

Amendment 2 from Cllr Ben Burton

“Under Council notes:

In the first bullet point:

- insert ‘,’ after ‘homes’ and delete ‘and’
- after employment insert ‘, and supporting infrastructure and facilities.’

In the fourth bullet point:

- after ‘inspectors’ insert ‘asked the council to confirm, with supporting evidence, if latest 2018 household projection figures represented meaningful change to its evidence base, and therefore whether its annual housing targets remained appropriate for York’s’
- delete ‘challenged the council for overdelivering on housing in the’

In the fifth bullet point:

- After 'homes' insert 'before factoring in housing requirements linked to forecast economic growth. However, under the previous Liberal Democrat-Green administration, the council response to the Inspectors confirmed 822 per annum remained appropriate and that it was sticking to an annual housing requirement of 822 dwellings p.a., based on up to date household projections, forecast employment growth and addressing historic shortfalls in housing delivery.'

Insert a sixth bullet point:

- 'That the Council's further evidence to the Local Plan hearings in August 2022 indicated an overall need for affordable housing within the plan period (2017 – 2033) of 9,396 affordable dwellings against a then expected total affordable housing supply during the same period of 3,265 affordable dwellings. This equated to only 35% of the anticipated total affordable housing need of 9,396, and still remains at well under 50% in the modified plan.'

Insert a seventh bullet point:

- The sizeable contribution of over 7,500 new Green Belt homes provided through the Liberal Democrat-led Local Plan, submitted to Government in 2018;'

In the now tenth bullet point:

- Insert after '22%' 'under the Government's proposed new methodology'

Under Council believes:

In the third bullet point:

- After 'in' insert 'preventing the loss of and delete improving the supply of'
- After 'area' insert ', revising 'Right to buy' terms,'
- After 'build' insert 'out a valid planning permission.'

Under Council resolves:

In the first bullet point:

- Delete 'the retention of the'
- After 'targets' include ', including affordable housing targets,'
- After 'are' insert 'based on evidenced need, including latest household formation figures;'
- Delete 'contained in the current draft Local Plan.'

In the second bullet point:

- After 'that' 'insert' within his powers and outside of the independence of the Local Planning Authority,'
- After 'development' insert 'or otherwise allowed for'
- After last 'the' insert 'Liberal Democrat-led'"

For information, the effect of the amendment on the above motion, with changes highlighted in bold:

“Council notes:

- The continued progress with agreeing a Local Plan for York to deliver much-needed new homes, land for employment, **and supporting infrastructure and facilities;**
- The ongoing Government consultation on revisions to the National Planning Policy Framework and wider policy proposals;
- That the current draft Local Plan is meeting a need of 822 dwellings per annum (net average) for the City of York and the new standard method proposed by the Government would set a revised figure of 1,251, compared to the current standard method figure of 1,020;
- That the July 2020 letter from planning inspectors **asked the council to confirm, with supporting evidence, if latest 2018 household projection figures represented meaningful change to its evidence base, and therefore whether its annual housing targets remained appropriate for York’s Local Plan;**
- That the council’s independent evidence base said about the 822 dwellings per annum housing target in the Local Plan that “there would not be the level of household growth required to fill this number of homes,” **before factoring in housing requirements linked to forecast economic growth. However, under the previous Liberal Democrat-Green administration, the council response to the Inspectors confirmed 822 per annum remained appropriate and that it was sticking to an annual housing requirement of 822 dwellings p.a., based on up-to-date household projections, forecast employment growth and addressing historic shortfalls in housing delivery.**
- **That the Council’s further evidence to the Local Plan hearings in August 2022 indicated an overall need for affordable housing within the plan period (2017 – 2033) of 9,396 affordable dwellings against a then expected total affordable housing supply during the same period of 3,265 affordable**

dwellings. This equated to only 35% of the anticipated total affordable housing need of 9,396, and still remains at well under 50% in the modified plan.

- **The sizeable contribution of over 7,500 new Green Belt homes provided through the Liberal Democrat-led Local Plan, submitted to Government in 2018;**
- That the 2024 Liberal Democrat General Election manifesto set a target of building 380,000 homes each year across the UK, with the Labour and Conservative manifestos including similar figures;
- That the 2024 Liberal Democrat General Election manifesto promised to introduce ‘use-it-or-lose-it’ planning permission for developers who refuse to build;
- That whilst York’s housing targets have increased by 22% **under the Government’s proposed new methodology**, London’s targets have seen a 20% reduction;
- That the council’s response to the Government consultation is due to be agreed at an Executive Member Decision Session on 23 September 2024.

Council believes:

- That adoption of the Local Plan is a crucial step towards delivering much-needed new homes for York;
- That the draft Local Plan contains ambitious and deliverable targets which will meet the pressing need for more new homes, whilst preserving York’s unique setting and environment;
- That other measures can play an important part in **preventing the loss of** homes for local residents, such as giving local authorities new powers to control second homes and short-term lets in their area, **revising ‘Right to buy’** terms, and introducing ‘use-it-or-lose-it’ planning permission for developers who refuse to build **out a valid planning permission.**

Council resolves:

- To ask the Executive Member for Housing, Planning and Safer Communities to approve a council response to the Government consultation referred to above which expresses support for annual housing targets, **including affordable housing targets**, that are **based on evidenced need, including latest household formation figures;**
- To ask the Executive Member to reaffirm his commitment, as reported in The Press on 13 June 2023
[\(<https://www.yorkpress.co.uk/news/23583238.will-no-extra-new->](https://www.yorkpress.co.uk/news/23583238.will-no-extra-new-)

[housing-green-belt-says-york-labour/](#)), that **within his powers and outside of the independence of the Local Planning Authority**, the only housing development allowed on green belt land will be on those sites already allocated for development **or otherwise allowed for** in the **Liberal Democrat-led** draft Local Plan.”

(iii) From Cllr Douglas

Community response to Israel-Gaza conflict

“We, the Council and people of York, in keeping with our city's status as the first Human Rights City in the UK, resolve to urgently call for:

- An immediate and permanent ceasefire in Gaza and Israel based on recent peace proposals.
- Immediate access within Gaza to water, medical and food supplies, restoration of electricity, and provision of proper shelters for all impacted by the war.
- The immediate release of all Israeli hostages and Palestinian detainees.”

Amendment from Cllr Steward

“In the last bullet point:

- insert ‘any’ before ‘Palestinian’
- insert an ‘s’ onto ‘Palestinian’ and then insert ‘detained otherwise than in accordance with international law.’
- delete detainees.”

For information, the effect of the amendment on the above motion, with changes highlighted in bold:

“We, the Council and people of York, in keeping with our city's status as the first Human Rights City in the UK, resolve to urgently call for:

- An immediate and permanent ceasefire in Gaza and Israel based on recent peace proposals.
- Immediate access within Gaza to water, medical and food supplies, restoration of electricity, and provision of proper shelters for all impacted by the war.
- The immediate release of all Israeli hostages and **any Palestinians detained otherwise than in accordance with international law.**”

(iv) From Cllr Runciman

Dignity in retirement

“Council notes

- The Labour Government is cutting winter fuel payments for 10 million pensioners in the UK
- According to the Labour Government’s own figures 800,000 of the lowest income pensioners who are eligible for Pension Credit but do not receive it will lose their Winter Fuel Payments
- Age UK’s campaign to “Save the Winter Fuel Payment for struggling pensioners” which seeks to stop Labour’s means testing of the Winter Fuel Payments
- The Parliamentary Liberal Democrat Motion that sought to block the cut to Winter Fuel Payments
- That locally in York, the Labour administration have cut the contract they held with Age UK to deliver York’s Older People Community Support Service
- That if the York Liberal Democrats’ alternative budget for 2024/25 had been approved by Council, the cut to Age UK’s contract would not have happened
- That 1639 pensioners who are entitled to Pension Credit in York do not claim it, meaning that there is £3.7million worth of unclaimed benefits for pensioners.

Council believes

- That the Age UK Day Clubs were a lifeline for many older residents, encouraging social interaction, providing lunch and enabling older people to keep warm in winter
- The Council should not have made the decision to cut Age UK’s contract
- That many older residents who do not currently qualify for the means tested Winter Fuel Payments will have to choose between heating their home or eating
- That York’s two Labour MPs should use their influence to persuade the Government to reverse the decision to cut York pensioners’ Winter Fuel Allowances
- That pensioners in York should have dignity in their retirement and currently will be £700 worse off a year due to the cumulative effects of Labour’s cut to the Winter Fuel Payment, the loss of the

Cost of Living Payment, the rise in the energy price cap and the Green Bin Tax

- That the Council should do all it can to mitigate the impact on older people of decisions that it has taken and decisions taken by the Labour Government
- That the impact of the decision to cut Winter Fuel Payments will hit colder cities like York more
- That the City of York Council has a responsibility to alert every household in York about the benefits they are entitled, how to claim them and the support that is available to assist with making claims.

Council resolves

- To ask the Leader of the Council, Leader of the Council's opposition groups and York's two MPs to write to the Chancellor of the Exchequer to ask them to reverse the Winter Fuel Allowance cut
- To ask the Leader of the Council, on behalf of the entire Executive, to sign the Age UK petition on the Winter Fuel Allowance cut
- To request that the Executive Members for Finance, Major Projects and Equalities work with officers to create a plan for consideration by the relevant Scrutiny Committee(s) which sets out a range of measures that could be taken to mitigate the impact of the above decisions on York's pensioners. This should include consideration of waiving the Green Bin Tax for pensioners, refunding all pensioners who have already paid it, reversing the cut to funding to Age UK York for Day Clubs and reinstating funding for a replacement Dial and Ride service
- To request that the Council Leader confirms, in writing to Councillors, that there will be no reduction in the number of warm spaces available to vulnerable residents this winter and next winter
- To request that officers write to all 1639 pensioners who are currently entitled to Pension Credit and do not claim it, informing them of the Winter Fuel Payment changes and encouraging them to claim for the benefits they are entitled to."

Amendment 1 from Cllr Nicholls

"Under Council resolves:

In the first bullet point:

- delete 'and York's two MPs' and after 'cut' insert 'and to write to York's two MPs expressing disappointment that they did not vote to

keep the Winter Fuel allowance and urge them to change their position.'

In the fifth bullet point:

- after 'To' insert 'launch a campaign of awareness, including' and delete 'request that'.
- amend 'write' to 'writing'
- Insert a new sentence at the end 'The campaign should also include reaching out to Parish Councils and the likes of Citizens Advice and Age UK and Explore to best maximise take-up.'

Insert a sixth bullet point:

- 'To use all pressure to ensure no worsening of the situation for pensioners, including lobbying for no change to pensioner free bus passes or the single person council tax discount which many benefit from.'"

For information, the effect of the amendment on the above motion, with changes highlighted in bold:

"Council notes

- The Labour Government is cutting winter fuel payments for 10 million pensioners in the UK
- According to the Labour Government's own figures 800,000 of the lowest income pensioners who are eligible for Pension Credit but do not receive it will lose their Winter Fuel Payments
- Age UK's campaign to "Save the Winter Fuel Payment for struggling pensioners" which seeks to stop Labour's means testing of the Winter Fuel Payments
- The Parliamentary Liberal Democrat Motion that sought to block the cut to Winter Fuel Payments
- That locally in York, the Labour administration have cut the contract they held with Age UK to deliver York's Older People Community Support Service
- That if the York Liberal Democrats' alternative budget for 2024/25 had been approved by Council, the cut to Age UK's contract would not have happened
- That 1639 pensioners who are entitled to Pension Credit in York do not claim it, meaning that there is £3.7million worth of unclaimed benefits for pensioners.

Council believes

- That the Age UK Day Clubs were a lifeline for many older residents, encouraging social interaction, providing lunch and enabling older people to keep warm in winter
- The Council should not have made the decision to cut Age UK's contract
- That many older residents who do not currently qualify for the means tested Winter Fuel Payments will have to choose between heating their home or eating
- That York's two Labour MPs should use their influence to persuade the Government to reverse the decision to cut York pensioners' Winter Fuel Allowances
- That pensioners in York should have dignity in their retirement and currently will be £700 worse off a year due to the cumulative effects of Labour's cut to the Winter Fuel Payment, the loss of the Cost of Living Payment, the rise in the energy price cap and the Green Bin Tax
- That the Council should do all it can to mitigate the impact on older people of decisions that it has taken and decisions taken by the Labour Government
- That the impact of the decision to cut Winter Fuel Payments will hit colder cities like York more
- That the City of York Council has a responsibility to alert every household in York about the benefits they are entitled, how to claim them and the support that is available to assist with making claims.

Council resolves

- To ask the Leader of the Council, Leader of the Council's opposition groups to write to the Chancellor of the Exchequer to ask them to reverse the Winter Fuel Allowance cut **and to write to York's two MPs expressing disappointment that they did not vote to keep the Winter Fuel allowance and urge them to change their position.**
- To ask the Leader of the Council, on behalf of the entire Executive, to sign the Age UK petition on the Winter Fuel Allowance cut
- To request that the Executive Members for Finance, Major Projects and Equalities work with officers to create a plan for consideration by the relevant Scrutiny Committee(s) which sets out a range of measures that could be taken to mitigate the impact of the above decisions on York's pensioners. This should include consideration

of waiving the Green Bin Tax for pensioners, refunding all pensioners who have already paid it, reversing the cut to funding to Age UK York for Day Clubs and reinstating funding for a replacement Dial and Ride service

- To request that the Council Leader confirms, in writing to Councillors, that there will be no reduction in the number of warm spaces available to vulnerable residents this winter and next winter
- To **launch a campaign of awareness, including officers writing** to all 1639 pensioners who are currently entitled to Pension Credit and do not claim it, informing them of the Winter Fuel Payment changes and encouraging them to claim for the benefits they are entitled to. **The campaign should also include reaching out to Parish Councils and the likes of Citizens Advice and Age UK and Explore to best maximise take-up.**
- **To use all pressure to ensure no worsening of the situation for pensioners, including lobbying for no change to pensioner free bus passes or the single person council tax discount which many benefit from.”**

Amendment 2 from Cllr Rose

“Under Council notes:

In the first bullet point:

- delete ‘cutting’ and insert ‘moving to means testing of’ delete ‘for’ and insert ‘affecting’

In the second bullet point:

- delete ‘for Pension Credit’ insert ‘will retain’ delete ‘but do not receive it will lose their’
- after ‘payments’ insert ‘by signing up for Pension Credit;’

In the fifth bullet point:

- after ‘administration’ insert ‘has agreed a saving through’ and delete ‘have cut’
- after ‘contract’ delete ‘they’
- insert after ‘Service,’ as part of efforts to address a £44m black hole it inherited in the council’s finances;’

In the sixth bullet point:

- after ‘2024/25’ insert ‘which involved dangerous financial risk through use of one off funding for permanent services and was not recommended by the council’s Chief Finance Officer,
- after ‘contract’ insert ‘could potentially have been avoided in the current year 2024-25;’
- delete ‘would not have happened’

In the seventh bullet point:

- delete '1639' and add '470'
- after 'is' insert 'around £1m' and delete '£3.7million'

Under Council believes:

In the first bullet point:

- after 'winter' insert 'and that Age UK York and the council supporting them to find alternative social groups is essential.'

In the second bullet point:

- after 'Council' insert 'has taken a very difficult decision to make savings to'
- delete 'should not have made the decision to cut'

- Delete the third bullet point

In the now third bullet point:

- after 'Government' insert 'to review the means-testing threshold level for' and delete 'to reverse the decision to cut York pensioners'
- after 'Allowances' insert 'to ensure the benefit reaches those who need it most;'

- Delete the fifth bullet point

In the now fifth bullet point:

- after 'to' insert 'means-test' and delete 'cut'

In the now sixth bullet point:

- after 'entitled' insert 'to'

Under Council resolves:

In the first bullet point:

- before 'reverse' insert 'review' and then delete 'reverse'
- after 'Allowance' insert 'eligibility threshold;' and delete 'cut'
- Delete the second bullet point

In the now second bullet point:

- Delete the last sentence
- After 'pensioners' insert 'including maintaining financial support for warm spaces in York through allocation of Household Support Fund grants;'
- Delete the now third bullet point

In the last bullet point:

- insert 'continue' after 'officers'
- delete 'write' and insert 'writing'
- delete '1639' and insert '470'

For information, the effect of the amendment on the above motion, with changes highlighted in bold:

“Council notes

- The Labour Government is **moving to means testing of** winter fuel payments **affecting** 10 million pensioners in the UK;
- According to the Labour Government’s own figures, 800,000 of the lowest income pensioners who are eligible will retain Winter Fuel Payments by signing up for Pension Credit;
- Age UK’s campaign to “Save the Winter Fuel Payment for struggling pensioners” which seeks to stop Labour’s means testing of the Winter Fuel Payments;
- The Parliamentary Liberal Democrat Motion that sought to block the cut to Winter Fuel Payments;
- That locally in York, the Labour administration **has agreed a saving through** the contract held with Age UK to deliver York’s Older People Community Support Service, **as part of efforts to address a £44m black hole it inherited in the council’s finances;**
- That if the York Liberal Democrats’ alternative budget for 2024/25, **which involved dangerous financial risk through use of one-off funding for permanent services and was not recommended by the council’s Chief Finance Officer**, had been approved by Council, the cut to Age UK’s contract **could potentially have been avoided in the current year 2024-25;**
- That **470** pensioners who are entitled to Pension Credit in York do not claim it, meaning that there is **around £1m** worth of unclaimed benefits for pensioners.

Council believes

- That the Age UK Day Clubs were a lifeline for many older residents, encouraging social interaction, providing lunch and enabling older people to keep warm in winter, **and that Age UK York and the council supporting them to find alternative social groups is essential;**
- The Council **has taken a very difficult decision to make savings to** Age UK’s contract;
- That York’s two Labour MPs should use their influence to persuade the Government **to review the means-testing threshold level for** Winter Fuel Allowances, **to ensure the benefit reaches those who need it most;**

- That the Council should do all it can to mitigate the impact on older people of decisions that it has taken and decisions taken by the Labour Government;
- That the impact of the decision to **means-test** Winter Fuel Payments will hit colder cities like York more;
- That the City of York Council has a responsibility to alert every household in York about the benefits they are entitled **to**, how to claim them and the support that is available to assist with making claims.

Council resolves

- To ask the Leader of the Council, Leader of the Council's opposition groups and York's two MPs to write to the Chancellor of the Exchequer to ask them to **review** the Winter Fuel Allowance **eligibility threshold**;
- To request that the Executive Members for Finance, Major Projects and Equalities work with officers to create a plan for consideration by the relevant Scrutiny Committee(s) which sets out a range of measures that could be taken to mitigate the impact of the above decisions on York's pensioners, **including maintaining financial support for warm spaces in York through allocation of Household Support Fund grants**;
- To request that officers **continue writing** to all **470** pensioners who are currently entitled to Pension Credit and do not claim it, informing them of the Winter Fuel Payment changes and encouraging them to claim for the benefits they are entitled to."

Agenda Item 12 - Proposed Change to the Council Procedure Rules

Recommended:

- i. Council is recommended to approve the deletion of paragraph B3 1 i) of the Council Procedure Rules, and the renumbering of the remaining items accordingly.

Reason: In order to remove a report which is recognised as being unnecessary.